Secrt. II-27—45,004—14-11-29.

Revenue (1931) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 81

DATED 15.1.31

[Despatch Abstract.]

Advances - Loans - (Bellary) - Bellary and Sirugappa Taluks - Special Loans Deputy Tahsildar - Issuing revised loans orders up to Rs.500/-

C.F. 31

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

Rev 3081 E

Received 21 DEC 1930 19 *Registered* 23.12.1930.

Subject.

Advances - Loans (Bellary) Bellary and Siruguppa taluks - Special Loans Deputy Tahsildar - Issue of revised loan orders.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Reference No. F.7785-30-1

Station Madras *Dated the* 20th December 1930.

From

The Board of Revenue, ~~Revenue Settlement, etc.~~ Land Revenue and Separate Revenue.

C.A.Souter Esquire, I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Sett.

Resolution

Reference.

Read the following:-

Letter from A.M.A.C.Galletti Esquire, M.A., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.C.No.6957/30 dated 16th December 1930.

Establishment - Temporary - Bellary and Siruguppa Taluks - Loans work special staff - employment - powers to issue revised loan orders up to Rs.500 -

Ref. Your Ref. F.7575/30-1 dated 13-12-'30.

Under rule II of the revised loans rules, a Deputy Tahsildar can sanction loans only up to a limit of Rs.250. The Special Loans Deputy Tahsildar, recommended to be employed

in Bellary and Siruguppa taluks will have to issue revised loan orders in several cases where the amount of loan exceeds Rs.250. I request you will empower the Temporary Loans Deputy Tahsildar to issue revised loan orders up to a limit of Rs.500 in each case. This will expedite work and avoid the necessity of sending revised loan orders to the concerned taluk Tahsildars for their signature.

Reference.-

Submitted to Government for favour of early orders.

2. Rule II of the Revised Loan Rules empowers Dy. Tahsildars (not in independent charge) to sanction loans only upto Rs.250/- In the present case it is not a question of sanctioning fresh loans but only issuing revised loan orders for the net amount of principal due after crediting all interest paid to Capital as ordered in G.O.No.628 Revenue dated 1-4-'30. The Board requests that Government may be pleased to empower the Special Loans Dy. Tahsildar sanctioned in their order No.MS.2412 Revenue dated 18-12-'30, to issue revised loan orders under his signature up to a limit of Rs.500 in each case.

For Assistant Secretary.

20.12.30

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

M.P. 20/12

RS. 20/12/30.

Revenue Department.

3081 Ε 30

Advances — Loans : (Bellary) — Bellary and Sirsi Appa Saheb — Special loans' Deputies — Enhanced powers.

1-2 C.F.

From the Board of Rev., L.R.S., no. F. 7785-30-1 d. 20.12.30

To the Under Secretary.

Rule II of the rules made under Sec. 10 of the L. I. Loans Act and Sec. 4 of the A. Loans Act, fixes the following limits on the powers of officers in regard to the grant of loans: —

Tahsildars — Upto Rs. 500/- in each case

Deputy Tahsildars in independent charge — " 250/- " and upto Rs. 500/- in the case of officers specially empowered by the Collector

Other Deputy Tahsildars — Upto Rs. 250/- when specially authorized by the Collector.

The proposal of the Collector of Bellary and the Board of Revenue that the Appl Deputies, whose entertainment was sanctioned in G.O. no. 628 2412 Rev., dated 18-12-30, should be empowered to issue revised loan orders up to a limit of Rs. 500/- in

each case, involves a departure from the limits laid down in the statutory rule quoted above. The reason for the proposal is that it will expedite work and avoid the necessity of sending revised loan orders to the concerned Taluk Offices for their signature.

A

F 2 F

The Board of Rev. has stated that the question is not one of sanctioning fresh loans but only issuing revised loan orders. The order empowering the Spl Dy/Asst to issue revised loan orders up to Rs. 500/- can only be issued under the statutory provisions quoted ~~ex~~ contained in Sec. 10 of the L.I.A.L. Act & Sec. 4 of A. L. Act It is not clear from the Board's Rep^te whether the point at A above was considered by it. The Spl Dy/Asst can be specially authorized by the Collector to sanction up to Rs. 250/- in each case. The Spl Dy/Asst has therefore to send to the Taluk only those cases, in which the amount ranges between Rs. 250/- & 500/-. In all these cases, the Special Dy/Asst will have done all the preliminary work, enquiries and the Taluk will have only to go through the papers and sign the loan orders. The Board's ~~matter~~ has already

O. 2 CF

P 1 Not. supra.

~~to state whether this work will be done~~ ~~on the circumstances the Special Dy Tahsildar~~ ~~heavy for the Tahsildar that the Spl Dy~~ ~~Tahsildar should be asked to do it. A draft~~ ~~T.M. is submitted for approval.~~

in the circumstances it still considers it necessary to empower the Special Dy Tahsildar to issue loan orders up to a limit of Rs 500/- A draft T.M. is submitted for approval.

5-1-31.

O.K.
5/1/31

Submitted

Time is important, & Mr. Gallett has stated (at p. 3 of (W)) that the work shd. be finished off by March 10th. The business of sending the papers in the case of every loan between Rs 250 & Rs. 500 to the Tahsildar certainly complicates, & above all delays the disposal

I don't think we shd. send the T.M. to the Board

I presume that the powers conferred upon the Local Govt. by Sec. 10 of the L.I.L. Act & by Sec. 4 of the A.L. Act will enable Govt now to pass a special order granting the Spl Dy Tahsildar the powers of an Assistant Dy. Tahsildar, under Rule 11. If so, such an order may be passed forthwith

6/1/31.

The Board's finish is that the case is not- p 2 n
governed by rule II - See p. 55 Takkavi Manual. post cap.
The by Taly is not sanctioning loans at all.
He takes conditioning loan order, calculates
how much of the Principal Sum the Amount
Loan has paid three years & revised order for
the balance so ascertained. It seems to me
that the Board's view is correct. The Dy. Taly is
not sanctioning a loan but his view a limit
of Rs. 500, is proposed, is not necessary but it
need not be objected to.

cf. para 3 - Govt 628 Rev 1-4-30 (2)

I think we may say the Govt
are of opinion that there is no objection to
the Spl. Dy. Taly issuing revised loans
orders in respect of amounts exceeding Rs. 250
He should not however issue such an order in any case for an
~~in respect of any~~ amount exceeding Rs. 500.

[illegible]
7.1.31

C3. add
7.1.31

[illegible]
7.1.31

cir: 6.1.31 with Takkavi Manual.

ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT.
8-JAN 1931
REVENUE DEPT.

[illegible]
8/1/31

To Under Secy

A draft order is submitted for approval. ~~It~~ The file may be sent to Tri. for perusal after issue of the order.

[illegible] 8/1/31. [illegible]

634 Accts 8.1.31
Rev. no. 3081 Ex0-1 8/1/31

[illegible] 8/1/31 [illegible] 8.1.31

Finance (Accts)

The draft order may be perused and the case returned to Revenue.

S — R.
9.1.31
PVP
9.1.31

10/1/31

10/1/31

Fin. 634 Accts-Leo
10.1.31

Rev. Deptt.

To under Secy



The draft order may issue

Σ1
10/1/31

W/1/31

12/1/31

12/1/31

CF.
17/1/31

Sectt. II-5 (a)—50,006—5-11-29.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	15/1	
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

29/1/31

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 628 Rev., dated 1-4- 1930

" " " " 2412 " " 18-12-(W)- 1930

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 3081 From the Board of Rev., LRS No. F. 7785, dated 20-12- 1930.

" " " " " " " " 19 .

" " " " " " " " 19 .

ORDER.

No. 81, dated 15.1.31 19 .

Jessie Doctor

The Government are of opinion that there is no objection to the Bd of Revs. issuing revised bound orders in respect of amounts exceeding Rs. 250/—. He should not however issue such an order in any case for an amount exceed Rs. 500/—.

8/1/31

8131.

8/1/31

Vide Post ? Noting 3,4

Dept's initials

Date 16.1.31 192

The Board of Rev., LRS.
" Collector of Bellary
" Asst Sl., marks
" Fri.